arXiv:1412.2091v1 [math.CO] 5 Dec 2014

MEASURABLE COLORINGS OF $\mathbb{S}^2_r$

Greg Malen
Ohio State University
malen@math.ohio-state.edu

1 Introduction

For a metric space (M,d) we consider the infinite graph whose vertices are all points in M, and where two points v,u are adjacent if $d(v,u) = 1$. Recall that the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum k such that there exists a function $\varphi : G \to \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ with $\varphi(v) \neq \varphi(u)$ whenever v and u are adjacent. $\chi(M)$ was classically studied in $\mathbb{R}^2$, where the best current bounds, $4 \leq \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right) \leq 7$, have remained unimproved upon for nearly the problem's entire history. In 1981 Falconer introduced the measurable chromatic number χ_m, which additionally requires that $\varphi^{-1}(i)$ is a measurable set in M for all i. While lower bounds for χ usually come from finite graphs, Falconer showed that in this more restrictive setting, $\chi_m(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq 5$ [2]. Here we adapt Falconer's techniques to study χ_m on $\mathbb{S}^2_r$, the 2-sphere of radius r.

Erdős first looked at $\chi(\mathbb{S}^2_r)$, using chordal distances in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^3$, conjecturing that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \chi\left(\mathbb{S}^2_r\right) = \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$, and that $\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^2_{1/\sqrt{3}}\right) = 3$. The latter conjecture was disproved by Simmons, however, who showed that in fact $\chi(\mathbb{S}^2_r) \geq 4$ for all $r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ [5]. Simmons went on to conjecture that $\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^2_{1/\sqrt{3}}\right) = 4$, and $\chi(\mathbb{S}^2_r) \geq 4$ for $r > \frac{1}{2}$. In this paper we show that arguments used by Falconer and Croft in the plane can be adapted to the spherical setting to prove that $\chi_m\left(\mathbb{S}^2_r\right)$ is at least 5 for all but a countable set of $r > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Furthermore, we examine the monotonicity of χ_m as a function of simply connected 2-dimensional surfaces of constant curvature.

Current results for $\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^2_r\right)$ were mostly chronicled by Simmons in [4]. The lower bound of 4 for all $r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ is still the best known. Upper bounds of 4 for $r \leq \frac{\sqrt{3-\sqrt{3}}}{2}$, 5 for $r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, and 6 for $r \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ may be obtained by, respectively: a tetrahedron, a

cap and four triangular stripes, and a dodecahedron. The only value of $r > \frac{1}{2}$ for which an exact answer can be given is $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. At this radius Simmons notes that a curved octahedron yields a 4-coloring that assigns antipodal regions the same color [5]. The regions in this coloring are all measurable and there is a lower bound of 4, hence $\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^2_{1/\sqrt{2}}\right) = \chi_m\left(\mathbb{S}^2_{1/\sqrt{2}}\right) = 4$.



(a) $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$; $\chi \leq 5$ (b) $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$; $\chi = 4$ (c) $r = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$; $\chi \leq 6$

For any point $x \in \mathbb{S}^2_r$, the set of points unit distance from x forms a circular cross-section with radius $R = \frac{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}{2r}$. On this circle, the central angle subtended by two points which are themselves unit distance apart is $\theta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2R}\right) = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}\right)$.

Theorem 1.1. *For $r > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, let $\theta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}\right) = c \cdot \pi$. If c is irrational, or if $c = \frac{p}{q} \cdot \pi$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $(p,q) = 1$ and p is even, then $\chi_m(\mathbb{S}^2_r) \geq 5$.*

The set of r values not covered by this theorem, namely when p is odd, is only a countable set. These values, being outside the purview of the methods used in this paper, may or may not provide exceptions to the theorem. The octahedral coloring for $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ yields the only known example of such an exception, with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

2 Measure Theoretic Lemmas

First we introduce some measure theoretic notations and definitions.

Notation 2.1. *Let μ and l be the 2-dimensional and 1-dimensional spherical measures on $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ respectively, and let α be 1-dimensional angular measure.*

Definition 2.2. *For E a measurable set, the density at a point $x \in E$ is $\delta_E(x) \coloneqq \lim_{R\to 0} \frac{\mu(B_R(x)\cap E)}{\mu(B_R(x))}$.*

Definition 2.3. *For E a measurable set, define its metrical boundary $\partial E \coloneqq \{x \in \mathbb{S}^2_r : \delta_E(x)$ does not exist, or $0 < \delta_E(x) < 1\}$, and the essential part $\tilde{E} \coloneqq \{x \in \mathbb{S}^2_r : \delta_E(x) = 1\}$.*

Remark 2.4. *Let E be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ with $\mu(E) > 0$ and $\mu(\mathbb{S}^2_r \setminus E) > 0$. Then $\partial E \neq \varnothing$, $\mu(\partial E) = 0$, and $\tilde{E}$ is a Borel set. [2]*

The following lemma is modeled off of Croft's argument for lattices in the plane [1]. It ensures for a particular arrangement of points L, and any set E with zero measure, that $|E \cap L| = 1$ for a natural set of rigid motions of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$. Here we let L be 2 points at unit chordal distance in $\mathbb{S}^2_r$, and the rigid motions are rotations of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ about points, where rotating about a point x means precisely rotating the sphere about the diameter that goes through x and its antipodal point.

Lemma 2.5. *Fix $r > \frac{1}{2}$ and let $E \subset \mathbb{S}^2_r$ with $\mu(E) = 0$. Let $L = \{x_1, x_2\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2_r$ be two points at unit chordal distance. Then for a given x_i there is an orientation of L in $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ with $x_i \in E \cap L$, such that for almost all rotations ρ about x_i, $\rho(E) \cap L = \{x_i\}$.*

Proof of Lemma. Assume the statement is false. So for every orientation of L with a given point $x_i \in E \cap L$ there is a set C of rotations about x_i such that $\alpha(C) > 0$ with $\rho(E) \cap L = L$ for all $\rho \in C$.

Without loss of generality, place L on $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ such that $x_1 \in E \cap L$. Note that $r > \frac{1}{2}$ ensures that points at unit chordal distance exist on $\mathbb{S}^2_r$. By assumption, there is a set C of rotations about x_1 with $\alpha(C) > 0$, for which $x_2 \in \rho(E) \cap L$ for all $\rho \in C$. And since $r > \frac{1}{2}$, x_1 and x_2 are not antipodal, hence x_2 traces out a circular cross section of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ via rotations about x_1. Then $\alpha(C) > 0$ if and only if there is a set of points $D \subset E$ on this cross section such that $l(D) > 0$.

Now reorient L so that $x_1 \in D$. Again, for x_1 starting at any point $y \in D$ there is a set C_y of rotations about y, with $\alpha(C_y) > 0$, for which $x_2 \in \rho(E) \cap L$ for all $\rho \in C_y$. As before, this produces a set of points $D_y \subset E$ on the cross section of points chordal distance 1 from y, such that $l(D_y) > 0$.

Now consider the integral

$$\int_D \left(\int_{D_y} \mathbb{1}_E \, \mathrm{d}(l) \right) \mathrm{d}(l) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{y \in D} D_y \right)$$

Recall that the integral of a measurable, non-negative function is zero only if the function is zero on all but a set of measure zero. Here for every $y \in D$

$$\int_{D_y} \mathbb{1}_E \, \mathrm{d}(l) = l(D_y) > 0$$

Therefore $\mu(E) \geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{y \in D} D_y \right) > 0$, which is a contradiction. □

It should be noted that this lemma does not hold in general for any discrete set $L \subset \mathbb{S}_r^2$. In particular, the lemma is false for arrangements where E and L are both such that $x \in E, L$ respectively if and only if its antipodal point is also in E, L. This contrasts the planar case, where Croft showed the lemma holds for any lattice L and any set E with zero measure [1]. The following corollary to Lemma 2.5 and pair of lemmas are due to Falconer [2], [6], however the proof of the first lemma must be adapted to fit the geometry of the sphere.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathbb{S}_r^2$ be covered by disjoint measurable sets $S_1, \ldots, S_m$, and let $E = \mathbb{S}_r^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{S}_i$. Then $\mu(E) = 0$, and there is an orientation of the sphere with $x_1 \in E$, $x_2 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{S}_i$, such that for almost all rotations ρ about x_1, x_2 remains in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{S}_i$.*

Observe that for $x \in E$, $\delta_i(x)$ may be 0 for at most two of the S_i. If it were 0 for three of the four sets, then the density with respect to the last set would be 1, and x would not be in E. Hence if $x \in E$ then $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \partial S_{n_i}$, for some $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\} \subset [m] \coloneqq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, $2 \leq k \leq m$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathbb{S}_r^2$ be covered by disjoint measurable sets $S_1,\dots,S_m$, and let L be in the orientation given by the corollary. Let $x_1 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \partial S_{n_i}$, with $\{n_1,\dots,n_k\} \subset [m]$, $2 \le k \le m$, and let $x_2 \in \tilde{S}_{\sigma(2)}$ for some $\sigma(2) \in [m]$. Then for every $i \in [k]$ there exists a rigid motion ρ_i of $\mathbb{S}_r^2$ which moves x_1 into S_{n_i} and x_2 into $S_{\sigma(2)}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let x_1 start at the north pole. For a given $R > 0$ we can associate each point in the ball of radius R about x_1, $B_R(x_1)$, to a unique rigid motion which rotates x_1 directly along the spherical geodesic to this point. All of these rigid motions will be rotations about points on the equator, as they rotate x_1 along meridians of $\mathbb{S}_r^2$. Call this set of rigid motions P_R. As $\mu(B_R(x))$ depends only on R, define $A_R = \mu(B_R(x))$ for any $x \in \mathbb{S}_r^2$. Then by definition of density, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough that for some arbitrarily small R, the following two inequalities both hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \;<\; & \frac{\mu(B_R(x_1) \cap S_{n_i})}{A_R} \;<\; 1-\epsilon \\ 1-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \;\le\; & \frac{\mu\left(B_R(x_2) \cap S_{\sigma(2)}\right)}{A_R} \;\le\; 1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $d(\rho(x_2), x_2) \le d(\rho(x_1), x_1)$ for all $\rho \in P_R$, with equality only holding when $\rho(x_1)$ and x_2 are on the same meridian. Then for $P_2 := \{\rho(x_2) : \rho \in P_R\} \subset B_R(x_2)$,

$$\frac{\mu\left(P_2 \setminus \left(P_2 \cap S_{\sigma(2)}\right)\right)}{A_R} \le \frac{\mu\left(B_R(x_2) \setminus \left(B_R(x_2) \cap S_{\sigma(2)}\right)\right)}{A_R} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Define $P_{i,j} := \{x \in B_R(x_1) \cap S_{n_i} : \rho(x_2) \in S_j \text{ when } x = \rho(x_1)\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu\left(P_{i,\sigma(2)}\right)}{A_R} &\ge \frac{\mu(B_R(x_1) \cap S_{n_i})}{A_R} - \frac{\mu\left(P_2 \setminus (P_2 \cap S_{\sigma(2)})\right)}{A_R} \\ &> \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

In particular for every i, $P_{i,\sigma(2)} \neq \emptyset$. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let R be such that $R > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2R}\right)$ is an irrational multiple of π. Let S_1, S_2 be measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose almost all points on a circle of radius R are in either $\tilde{S}_1$ or $\tilde{S}_2$. Then at least one of S_1, S_2 contains a pair of points that are unit distance apart on the circle.*

For a proof see Falconer, Lemma 4 [2].

3 Proof of Theorem.

Fix $r > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Let $\theta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}\right) = c \cdot \pi$, and suppose that c is irrational. Suppose there is a permissible measurable 4-coloring of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$, with disjoint color classes S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2_r$ be a pair of points at unit chordal distance from each other. By Corollary 2.6, there is an orientation of the sphere in which $x_1 \in \mathbb{S}^2_r \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \tilde{S}_i$, and $x_2 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \tilde{S}_i$ for almost all rotations about x_1. Without loss of generality assume $x_1 \in \partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2$. So $x_2 \notin \tilde{S}_1 \cup \tilde{S}_2$, since Lemma 2.7 gives rigid motions which would place it in the same color class as x_1. Hence $x_2 \in \tilde{S}_3 \cup \tilde{S}_4$ for almost all rotations about x_1, through which x_2 traces out a cross-section of $\mathbb{S}^2_r$ which is a circle in $\mathbb{R}^2$. By Lemma 2.8 and the assumption that θ is an irrational multiple of π, either S_3 or S_4 must contain a pair of points on this circle unit distance apart, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that $c = \frac{p}{q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with p even and $(p, q) = 1$, hence q odd. Let N be the north pole of the sphere and consider the circular cross section of points unit distance from N. Let $L = \{N, y_1, \ldots, y_q\}$, where the y_j are evenly spaced along the cross section at rotations of $\frac{2\pi}{q}$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, the smallest multiple of $\theta = \frac{p\pi}{q}$ which is an integer multiple of π is $q \cdot \theta = p\pi$. And p is even so this is a multiple of 2π. Hence rotating by θ forms a unit-distance odd cycle among the q points evenly spaced at rotations of $\frac{2\pi}{q}$ in some order. Since the y_j trace out the same cross section as x_2 did, Lemma 2.5 still holds for $N \in E \cap L$, as the pigeonhole principle guarantees that one of the y_j would give an arc of positive measure at each step. So there is an orientation with $\rho(E) \cap L = \{N\}$ for almost all rotations about N. Then for $y_j \in \tilde{S}_{\sigma(j)}$ for each j, Lemma 2.7 must be adjusted to find rotations ρ_1 and ρ_2 which move x_1 into S_1 and S_2 respectively, while simultaneously moving y_j into $S_{\sigma(j)}$ for every j. We can define $P_j \coloneqq \{\rho(y_j) : \rho \in P_R\}$ for each j,

and choose $\frac{\epsilon}{q+1}$ instead of $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. The subtraction in the proof then becomes

$$\frac{\mu(B_R(x_1)\cap S_{n_i})}{A_R} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\mu\Big(P_i \setminus \Big(P_j \cap S_{\sigma(j)}\Big)\Big)}{A_R} > \epsilon - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\epsilon}{q+1}$$

This is greater than 0, so the desired ρ_1 and ρ_2 exist. As before, this yields that if there is a permissible 4-coloring, then each y_j is in $\tilde{S}_3 \cup \tilde{S}_4$, and are moved into $S_3 \cup S_4$ by ρ_1 and ρ_2. But this is a contradiction since the y_j form an odd cycle. Hence there is no permissible 4-coloring. □

4 Covering More Ground

Despite the exception when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, it may be possible to cover a large set of the cases for which $\theta = \frac{p\pi}{q}$ with p odd by looking at other unit distance configurations. The unit distance diamond, K_4 minus an edge, is one such example.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $L = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ be a unit distance graph with all possible edges except for x_1x_4. With x_1 at the north pole, let D be the radius of the horizontal cross section traversed by x_4. Then $\chi_m(\mathbb{S}^2_r) \geq 5$ when $D > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2D}\right)$ is an irrational multiple of π or a rational multiple of π with an even numerator.*

Lemma's 2.5 and 2.7 can be adapted to fit this choice of L as in the proof of the theorem, so long as x_1 and x_4 are not antipodal. But that is the exceptional case $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then without loss of generality $x_1 \in \partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2$, and so $x_2, x_3 \in \tilde{S}_3 \cup \tilde{S}_4$. Thus x_4 must be in $\tilde{S}_1 \cup \tilde{S}_2$ for almost all rotations about x_1, and the proof follows as before. D was initially computed by Simmons [5] to be

$$D = \left| \frac{2r(2r^2-1)\sqrt{3r^2-1}}{4r^2-1} \right|$$

So $D > \frac{1}{2}$ for $r \in (0.586158, 0.627745) \cup (0.819417, \infty)$, where these are decimal approximations of the solutions to $D = \frac{1}{2}$. β can be written in terms of θ as

$$\beta = 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{4\cos(\theta)}\right)$$

However there is not a simple way to check when $\sin^{-1}(x)$ is a rational multiple of π in general, making it difficult to decide when the r values covered by these two theorems intersect.

5 Further Questions and Implications

An intriguing corollary of Theorem 1.1 is that $\chi_m(\mathbb{S}^2_r)$ is not monotonic as a function of r, which gives rise to further questions as to the nature of χ and χ_m as functions of curvature. For negatively curved spaces, using a varying distance d to define the edge set instead of varying the curvature, Kloeckner showed the following bounds for $\chi(\mathbb{H}^2_d)$ [3].

Theorem 5.1. *For all* $d \geq 3\ln(3)$, $\chi(\mathbb{H}^2_d) \leq 4\left\lceil \frac{d}{\ln(3)} \right\rceil + 4$. *And for* $d \leq 3\ln(\frac{3}{2})$, $\chi(\mathbb{H}^2_d) \leq 12$.

The proof uses a checkerboard lattice to cover $\mathbb{H}^2_d$. The more natural regular n-gon tilings of $\mathbb{H}^2$ with three n-gons meeting at a vertex, as with hexagons in the plane, surprisingly do much worse. In this setting we ask whether there is a lower bound which also grows with d, and whether $\lim_{c\to 0}\chi\left(\mathbb{H}^2_c\right) = \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$, where c is the fixed constant curvature. Furthermore, in the spherical setting we ask if there is any r such that $\chi_m(\mathbb{S}^2_r) = 5$, and if there is any r besides $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ where $\chi_m(\mathbb{S}^2_r) = 4$.

References

[1] H. T. Croft. Three lattice-point problems of Steinhaus. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 33(129):71–83, 1982.

[2] K. J. Falconer. The realization of distances in measurable subsets covering $\mathbf{R}^n$. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 31(2):184–189, 1981.

[3] Benoît Kloeckner. Coloring distance graphs: A few answers and many questions. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.2765, to appear in Geombinatorics, May 2013.

[4] G. J. Simmons. On a problem of Erdös concerning a 3-coloring of the unit sphere. *Discrete Math.*, 8:81–84, 1974.

[5] Gustavus J. Simmons. The chromatic number of the sphere. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 21(4):473–480, 1976.

[6] Alexander Soifer. *The mathematical coloring book*. Springer, New York, 2009. Mathematics of coloring and the colorful life of its creators, With forewords by Branko Grünbaum, Peter D. Johnson, Jr. and Cecil Rousseau.